

|   |                                      |                                       |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/816,804 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>CARLSON ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Carlic K. Huynh   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1617               |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Carlic K. Huynh.

(2) Gary Speier.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mike Haukas.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 July 2007

**Time:** 11:30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Applicants' representatives, Gary Speier and Mike Haukas, have confirmed that a response to a Restriction Requirement from January 4, 2007 was not filed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)